

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN OHIO DISTRICT
EASTERN DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Case No. 2:15-cr-214 (4)

Plaintiff,

v.

JUDGE GREGORY L. FROST

MAREEKUS E. DAVIS,

Defendant.

ORDER

This matter is before the Court for consideration of the Magistrate Judge's January 12, 2016 Report and Recommendation ("R&R")(ECF No. 91). In that filing, the Magistrate Judge accepted Defendant's plea of guilty to the Amended Superseding Information.

The R&R advised the parties that, if any party sought review by the District Judge, that party must file objections to the R&R within fourteen (14) days. The R&R further advised the parties that their failure to object within fourteen days would "result in a waiver of the right to *de novo* review by the District Judge and of the right to appeal the decision of the District Court adopting the [R&R]." (*Id.* (citing *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *Smith v. Detroit Fed'n of Teachers, Local 231 etc.*, 829 F.2d 1380 (6th Cir. 1987); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981)).)

The Court has reviewed the R&R. Noting that no objections have been filed, and that the time for filing such objections has expired, the Court **ADOPTS AND AFFIRMS** the R&R (ECF No. 91).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Gregory L. Frost

GREGORY L. FROST, JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT